UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,715	03/27/2006	Nobuhiro Hayashi	4439	4988
Floyd B Caroth	7590 04/14/200 ers	EXAMINER		
Carothers & Ca Suite 500		SMITH, FRANCIS P		
445 Fort Pitt Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pittsburgh, PA	15219	1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/574,715	HAYASHI ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Francis P. Smith	4151
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 / 1</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)⊠ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-12 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examin	vn from consideration.	
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 March 2006</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) [Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureacter the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/5/2006.	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/574,715 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claim(s) 1-7, drawn to a vacuum evaporation deposition method of the winding type.
- Group II, claim(s) 8-12, drawn to a vacuum evaporation deposition apparatus of the winding type.
- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: In the instant application, the inventions contain a common theme of evaporating a metal film on an insulating material. According to JP 61030669, it was known in the art at the time of the invention to deposit a metallic film on an insulation material, and therefore, the instant application lacks unity.
- 3. During a telephone conversation with Floyd Carothers, Esq. on March 26, 2008 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1-
- 7. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claims 8-12 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 2 of [0024], "take-up roller 13" apparently means "take-up roller 15."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,715 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makoto et al. (JP 2002-358633) in view of Okuda et al. (US 5,258,074).

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Makoto teaches a method of manufacturing magnetic recording media. Specifically, the process for manufacturing the magnetic recording medium consists of a processing step of electrifying a polymer film in the traveling state (e.g. an insulating material base film is continuously fed out). The polymer film is kept in tight contact with the cooling roll by electrification of the traveling polymer film (i.e.

Art Unit: 1792

cooled in close contact with a cooling roller) (see abstract). Furthermore, a metal is evaporated onto said insulating material base film to deposit a metal film thereon [0029]. An electron gun is installed in the upper wall of the vacuum chamber to pass along the center line of the cooling roller and to cross the direction of the high polymer film as it is conveyed (i.e. charging the insulating material base film) [0021]. Makoto does not teach applying a voltage after the deposition of the metal film.

Okuda teaches an evaporation apparatus featuring a voltage applying and current measurement means. Specifically after a metal film is deposited on a substrate film, a voltage is applied to the metal membrane-deposited film substrate, which is applied between the auxiliary roller (i.e. roller 7a) and cooling roller to ensure that the metal membrane adheres to the film substrate with great strength (as per claim 4) (col. 3, lines 47-63; col. 4, lines 4-32; see fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to apply a voltage after depositing a metal film in Makoto's method as taught by Okuda in order to enhance the cooling efficiency of the substrate by promoting adherence to the drum and to ensure that the metal membrane binds to the film substrate with a favorable strength.

As per claims 2 and 3, Makoto teaches an electron gun such that the electron beam can be scanned to the length direction of a cooling roller, the cross direction of the substrate film in which it runs (e.g. charging said insulating material base with charged particles while being scanned in the width direction of the insulating material base film as it is in contact with said cooling roller) ([0021], see drawing 1).

Regarding claim 5, Makoto teaches using a measuring device consisting of a

piezoelectric sensing element 26, which is capable of controlling the applying voltage as to place the surface potential within a predetermined range ([0017], see drawing 1).

For claim 7, Makoto teaches removing the electricity from the insulating material base film after the deposition of the metal film [0033].

8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makoto et al. (JP 2002-358633) and Okuda et al. (US 5,258,074), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Asai et al. (US 5,489,488).

Makoto, as modified by Okuda, does not disclose forming a mask pattern on the surface of the insulating material base film before charging said base.

Asai teaches of manufacturing a soft magnetic film whereby a substrate is coated with a resin mask having a predetermined core pattern CP. The resin mask was used for the purpose of forming a magnetic multilayer film in the opening of said mask (col. 7, lines 10-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to utilize Asai's resin mask in Makoto/Okuda's method in order to form a magnetic film of a predetermined pattern on a soft film substrate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Francis P. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 270-3717. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 7:00 AM-5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,715 Page 7

Art Unit: 1792

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael B. Cleveland can be reached on (571) 272-1418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FPS

/Michael Cleveland/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792